

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,602	03/04/2002	Gordon K. Chang	SVOXP002	3174	
22434 7590 08/23/2007 BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
				DELINERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/086,602	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Steven H.D Nguyen	2616	

•		Steven H.D Nguyen	2616	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 14 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	\square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
have to the control of the control o	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of end 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🔲	CE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extease a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
4. 🔲	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
,	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-38 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will will wi	I be entered and an e	explanation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a Nonday sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
11.	The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Г	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	Other:	, , , , , ,		
	•		Steven H.D Nguye Primary Examiner	n

Art Unit: 2616

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In responde to claim 20, the applicant states that it is statutory because the enterprise directory produces function advantages that disappear if the same data is recorded in a different format. In reply, Claim 20 is just a database with the values and object names. It does not conatin any descriptive material or function languages. In responde to claims 21-38, the applicant states the directory service database of prior art is different from the enterprise directory of the applicant by submitting a LDAP book. In reply, the directory data of the prior art and enterprise directory of the applicant are not different because the specification of the application does not show the different between the databases.